

## KEEPING SOUTH WALES SAFE • CADW DE CYMRU'N DDIOGEL



PC Sarah Rowlatt - Licensing Officer  
 Community Safety Partnership  
 Bridgend Police Station  
 Cheapside  
 Bridgend  
 CF31 1BZ

Tel 01656 306022

Ref: SR B3-117

Monday, May 09, 2016

Legal Services Department  
 Corporate Services  
**Bridgend County Borough Council**  
 Angel Street  
 Bridgend  
 CF31 4WB

CC: Richard HOPKINS



Dear Sir/madam

**RE: OBJECTION NOTICE**

**RE: APPLICATION TO VARY A PREMISES LICENCE UNDER SECTION 34 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 by Richard HOPKINS for Sax bar and nightclub, Derwen Road, Bridgend**

**REPRESENTATIONS**

The South Wales Police refer to the above variation application and wish to inform Bridgend County Borough Council Licensing Authority, that there are police objections in respect to this application for the extension of hours at the premises trading as Sax bar and nightclub.

This application is made on behalf of Chief Superintendent Parfitt, the Chief Officer of Police who is of the view that if this application were granted it would have a negative effect on the promotion of the licensing objectives, namely, the prevention of crime and disorder, public nuisance, public safety and the protection of children from harm.

<b>SOUTH WALES POLICE</b>	<b>HEDDLU DE CYMRU</b>
South Wales Police Headquarters, Cowbridge Road, Bridgend CF31 3SU	Pencadlys Heddlu De Cymru, Heol y Bont-faen, Penybont CF31 3SU
Telephone: 01656 869200 Facsimile: 01656 869209	Teliffon: 01656 869200 Ffacsimili: 01656 869209

The premises is situated in DERWEN ROAD which is within the cumulative impact area and has a special policy that has been adopted stating that any applicant must include within their operating schedule how the likely grant of the application will have a positive impact on the area.

South Wales Police submits this report which contains such data and information as to why this application should not be granted and appeal to the Licensing committee members to refuse it.

Officers will provide additional evidence at the hearing, should this be deemed necessary and proportionate to amplify these findings. Some of this information provided by the police is restricted with a probability to be heard in a closed session.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Police have met with the applicant so far this year on the 9<sup>th</sup> February 2016 and the 1<sup>st</sup> March 2016. At the time of writing the police wished to schedule a further meeting on the 4<sup>th</sup> May 2016 but the applicant has become absent since this application was served and has failed to answer all emails.

Police originally called the applicant to a meeting on the 1<sup>st</sup> March 2016, in relation to the promotion of the licensing objective especially the prevention of crime and disorder. Police were concerned with the amount of assault calls that had been attributed to the premises in the previous year. The police were interested in working with the applicant to reduce these calls hence a written Action Plan was created by the police.

The written Action plan, was rebutted by the applicant, however, SWP were happy to overcome this, to try and work together to put in special measures in an attempt to reduce these reports of crime. New measures were proposed and discussed, some implemented, some due to be implemented, some not. Therefore, a variation application to be served whilst police have reported increased crime and disorder at a premises is highly unconventional and is detrimental to the partnership approach to improve the licensing objectives. How can additional hours of alcohol sales NOT lead to an increase in crime, when crime levels are already too high?

At this time, SWP believe it is too soon to comment or make judgement on the effectiveness the new solutions are having. In fact, some of these remedies have not yet been implemented. However, this application has been served and is requesting an increase in the opening times and the sale of alcohol hours of 6 days a week to mirror what hours they already have on a Saturday. This is not an application agreed by police and does not promote any of the additional remedies that are currently being trialled. The police see this as highly unprofessional at such an important developmental stage and shows alternative motives of the applicants away from the licensing objectives.

Police have not lost sight of the fact that crime levels in and around the premises are too high, hence the need of these meetings and the chance for the applicant to bring these levels down. If not the police will have to adopt alternative methods, like review. Police wish the licensing authority to also note how seriously important it is that crime is not increased at this premises. How can additional hours, not add negatively and how will disorder not be increased when the premises would ordinarily be shut?

SWP also remind the licensing authority that once a variation is granted, it is an expensive and timely review application that is the only remedy to it. This is only after an even higher number of crime and disorder occurrences are attributed to the premises. So by granting this application you will be allowing further serious crime to take place, prior to police being able to do anything about it. This does not promote the cumulative impact policy, section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act and even your own BCBC Statement of Licensing policy.

This application at no point remedies the existing levels of crime at the premises or in fact has it established what it will do to remedy the new hours. It has not adopted the current solutions that have been discussed with the police that are soon to be implemented. This is evidence alone, that the impact of extra hours will be negative because they have not been considered.

Furthermore, the extra hours of increased alcohol sales will mirror the existing high level crime figures that are already reported for a Saturday, Sunday morning. Proof itself that increased hours and disorder, go hand in hand. Therefore SWP cannot support this variation application and only advise that it should be rejected.

The current premises operating times already puts extra demand on service industries, police and emergency services during the night time economy. Data from these organisations are contained within this report and shows the weekend, specifically Saturday night, Sunday morning is the highest level of crime and disorder within the whole of Bridgend town centre. Although, the application has included Saturday hours within it, this is not an increase to existing times.

However, the application is asking to mirror the Saturday later times throughout the week. Therefore crime and disorder will also mirror the figures of a Saturday night. In short other businesses of Bridgend town centre cannot afford to accommodate such hours that will have a detrimental effect on the dynamics of the town centre.

With regard to the information supplied within the Operating Schedule, SWP state that nothing offered have met the licensing objectives for the premises or the

cumulative impact area. Therefore, the likelihood of further crime and disorder if the sale of alcohol hours are increased are also highly likely to increase.

### **Cumulative Impact Area**

Bridgend Town centre has experienced particular outbreaks of serious and prolonged public disorder during the late night time economy. Some licensed premises operating under the original hours they proposed in 2005, have had to be shut down by SWP. Premises within this area have been subject of various and prolonged enforcement over the years due to the failure to promote the licensing objectives.

In fact the situation deteriorated to such an extent that SWP were forced to make application under Section 51 of the Act to review the licence of most problematic venues within this area.

In some cases the expedited review process was adopted under Section 53(A) of the Violent Crime Reduction Act 2006 in order to close premises immediately pending review.

The vast majority of these venues were not only granted increased opening hours despite strong opposition from SWP, but some premises again had additional hours approved despite the cumulative impact policy being in place.

These reviews did not solely relate to premises licensed to sell alcohol but also late night refreshment houses and the level of crime associated with their operating hours.

This action has positively resulted in the dispersal of drunken, violent persons remaining in the vicinity of these premises and does show a reduction on the impact they had on the town centre. (Roof Review 2011 to 2016) showed that in 2011 Morfa ward reported a grand total of 4739 offences, a figure that has not been repeated since police took such draconian action.

Police can clearly equate the correlation between shutting premises down and the reduction of crime, especially violent crime. If intoxicated individuals can no longer buy and consume alcohol at such venues then they cannot cause disturbances in the streets after leaving them.

However, numerous alcohol related incidents are still regularly recorded and [REDACTED] shows this is on the increase, 2015 showed a record of [REDACTED] 3781 incidents an increase on the previous two years.

The Bridgend licensing authority has adopted a special policy in respect of the cumulative impact of premises within Bridgend town centre known as 'the saturation policy' or 'the cumulative impact area' because of such reported disorder. Derwen Road, which used to be populated with many late night venues, is within that special 'cumulative impact area' and is where Sax, this premises is located. So although many of the old late night venues are closed and have been converted to coffee shops, flats and restaurants, Derwen Road still contains the highest number of reported incidents and crimes within the whole county borough.

Sax itself, has the most amount of reported crime linked to a premises the majority being behaviour due to drunkenness or more seriously, violent crime like assault. Ranging from common assaults towards another, towards door staff and actually assaults involving door staff. Last year, **1500036082** on 7<sup>th</sup> February 2015, several occurrences were investigated where door staff were charged by police with common assault. These were prosecuted at court and SIA badges revoked.

Yet Sax bar and nightclub remains one of the few premises within the late night economy of Bridgend town centre that has NOT been reviewed by police. This is not down to less crimes being reported there, or a better run establishment, it is purely down to the ability that both parties will work together, up until now.

That said, Sax Bar and nightclub does already have the latest hours in the county borough, it can sell alcohol on a Saturday from 10.00 am until 04.30 am and close 30 minutes afterwards at 05.00 am. Because of the lateness in hours, Sax is also one of the greatest demands for police and other emergency services, which the granting of this application will only make worse.

Derwen Road features quite highly in the crime statistical analysis yet now only houses two pubs in that street. It features highly in the top three for the most amount of crimes reported (2011 – 2015) and is in the top seven for non-crime occurrences reported (2011-2015).

There are and there continues to be, problems of crime and public disorder, anti-social behaviour, litter, noise and public nuisance within this town centre which under the article 7 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 this licensing authority has a duty to reduce. The statistic for 2015 showed a rise on the previous years' figures, for Morfa ward including Derwen Road for crime and even for non-crime occurrences.

### **BCBC Statement of Licensing policy**

#### **6. Special Policy: Cumulative Impact Area**

*6.3 The authority has considered the following factors when considering whether to adopt a special policy:*

- The identification of serious and chronic concern from a responsible authority about crime and disorder and disorder and public nuisance in an area*
- Evidence and assessment that crime and disorder and public nuisance are arising and are caused by the customers of licensed premises*
- Evidence identifying the area from which problems are arising and the boundaries of the area*

SWP can demonstrate serious and chronic concern for this premises and the fact that this level has increased.

**(See [REDACTED] review 2011 to 2016)**

<b>Morfa Ward (cumulative impact area)</b>				
Year	2012	2013	2014	<b>2015</b>
No of Offences	1107	1061	1093	<b>1123</b>

A comparison over the last 4 years for MORFA ward has been carried out and shows that 2015 showed a rise in offences.

#### **Top Streets - offences**

Year	2012	2013	2014	<b>2015</b>
DERWEN ROAD position	1st	1st	1st	1st
Amount of offences in streets	208	152	136	<b>147</b>

This report highlights that Derwen Road is consistently the top street that records the most amount of offences compared to any other. This report also highlights how offences for 2015 have shown an increase. Hence the reason why SWP have called the premises into question as many of these offences are attributed to drunken customers of the premises.

This report contains the most up to date figures, being only commissioned on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2016 however, similar data is available attached to the Statement of Licensing policy at appendix two.

Many of these incidents are clearly attributed to customers of Sax. For example, **1600125290** is such a reported that on the 10<sup>th</sup> April 2016 at 04.56 hours when police were originally called to a disturbance in Derwen Road. This call resulted in 4 males being arrested for fighting after leaving Sax. In interview they stated how this incident escalated as they left Sax, some boys ganging up on others. All highly intoxicated at the time and this then escalated within the cumulative area. If they hadn't left Sax nightclub at that time, or hadn't even left together, maybe they would not have assaulted one another and police would not have to investigate another violent crime.

Another such incident linked to the premises is **1600096663** on the 20<sup>th</sup> March 2016 where police were called to an incident of an aggressive male. On this occasion, two males were arrested for violence and public order offences in the street after they had been ejected from the club for an incident inside.

#### **Revised Guidance issued under section 182 of the Licensing Act – March 2015**

##### **section 13.24**

*Information which licensing authorities may be able to draw on to evidence the cumulative impact of licensed premises on the promotion of the licensing objectives includes:*

- *Local crime and disorder statistics*
- *Statistics on local anti-social behaviour offences*
- *Health related statistic such as alcohol related emergency attendances*

**NHS Wales PUBLIC Health Wales February 2016 report**  
**Police, ambulance and emergency department (ED) recorded violence:**  
**Central Basic Command Unit (BCU)**

(Nov – Dec 2015)

*SWP beat with the highest number of AWI victims were Morfa (28)*

*Beats with the highest number of WAST call out were Morfa (6)*

*Sharp increase in female attendees reporting assaults on the street from 4% (Sept- Oct 15) to 32% (Nov-Dec).*

These figures suggest that the highest number of reported AWI (Assault with injury) calls were reported in Morfa ward. The figures also suggest that the highest number of (WAST) Welsh Ambulance Service trust calls out were also for Morfa ward.

The report goes on to highlight the streets within MORFA ward where ambulances were called out and names DERWEN ROAD as being the specific street location with the most amount of call outs to an area. The report also states the age of these persons being 18-25 and mostly male.

Research carried out by Simon Christmas and Fiona Seymour for drinkaware.co.uk  
September 2014

Introduced the term **DRUNKEN NIGHT OUT**

And their field studies revealed widespread excessive drinking among users of the night time economy.

Roughly two fifths of 18 to 24 year olds agree with the statement

'I really enjoy going out to get drunk'

And 15% of this age group stated that they drink with the intention of getting drunk every time or most times they drink alcohol.

They go on to state how this age group do not think of the risks associated with being drunk or on a drunken night out...

THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM OF VIOLENCE ASSOCIATED WITH DRUNKEN NIGHTS OUT, SKEWED TOWARDS MORE SERIOUS INCIDENTS SUCH AS WOUNDING. MANY OF OUR PARTICIPANTS HAD WITNESSED OR BEEN VICTIMS OF VIOLENCE ON A DRUNKEN NIGHT OUT.

The emergency services data reflects that of the police and of drinkaware.co.uk. That Morfa ward is a strain on resources and continues to be so. That the type of customers are young and aggressive causing assaults, whilst being fuelled by alcohol.

Police data goes on to highlight the busiest time frame for disorder is linked to SAX as it is their current closing time.

**Problem Profile Sax night club 01/04/2015 – 19/11/2015**

The key findings of this report are:-

The peak days/times for violent crime at Sax nightclub  
**SUNDAY 04.00 – 05.00 HOURS.**

This is when customers are leaving Sax as the sale of alcohol has ceased and customers who are already intoxicated become rowdy and disorderly in the streets after they have left the premises.

This is a seriously indication that should the variation application be granted, the likely effect will be to replicate this existing report but not just on Saturday, but throughout the week. That crime will not only be present on the one day that Sax open late, but all days it wishes to open late. Not only will there be additional crime and disorder at the premises but also within the town centre and effectively this will add to the already high cumulative impact area. The whole reason why the policy was adopted in the first place, was so that these types of applications will be rebuffed.

### **Problem Profile Sax night club 01/04/2015 – 19/11/2015**

This report also highlights:-

#### **THE OFFENCE TYPE REPORTED AT SAX NIGHTCLUB WERE**

<b>ASSAULT WITH INJURY</b>	6
DRUNK AND DISORDERLY	3
ASSAULT POLICE	2
COMMON ASSAULT	2
AFFRAY	1

Police currently work an overlap shift on weekends to accommodate the demand that the late night economy requires. Officer will work what's called 'a Super noon' shift from 17.00 until 03.00 am when they would ordinarily finish at midnight. This is in the middle of their 6 day tour. Officers have to police Bridgend town centre on foot to try and prevent crime and to deal with low level issues prior to them escalating. This is the only way that police can meet the extra demand that late night venues have by their operating times and with no adoption of a late night levy in this area, this type of policing will not change.

SWP cannot facilitate the safe policing of these type of hours which this application requires, and serious crime will only escalate.

### **Historical Perspective**

The current applicant is both the designated premises supervisor and the premises licence holder and has been linked to Sax since 12<sup>th</sup> July 2010 when he became the designated premises supervisor (DPS) and the premises licence holder (PLH) under a company trading as 'Sax bar and bowlers Ltd'. The names of the holding companies have changed, regularly, over the years, but effectively the management has remained the same with three key individuals. Each year a new limited company is set up to take on the role of the PLH putting distance between the responsibilities of the current management and any potential future case.

The applicant is currently showing on the police licensing database as the DPS and PLH of 'Route 66' in Maesteg, DPS of 'Suzy Bar' in Tonyrevil, (suspended no payment Aug 2015) DPS and PLH of 'Back to Mine' Port Talbot as well as running other business ventures. A DPS is the day to day controller who oversees all actions. It is not possible for him to be in all places at once.

It is also believed he has business interests further afield, in the Forest of Dean and Birmingham, although unsure if these are still active.



The premises benefits from an existing premises licence BCBC LP 302 Issue 15 issued on the 28<sup>th</sup> April 2016. This was originally converted from a Public entertainment licence (PEL), a Sunday entertainment licence (SEL) and an Indoor Sports Entertainment licence (ISEL) when the Licensing Act came into being. Many pages of special conditions were carried over leaving page after page of restrictions that the management must comply with, one example being the capacity figures. A variation application is the vehicle in which to amend these restrictions, which this application choses to ignore.

## **Annex 2 - Conditions Consistent with the Operating Schedule**

### **General – All four licensing objectives:-**

7.The parts of the premises licenced for public entertainment and the maximum number of persons permitted to resort to those parts are as follows:-

#### **Ground floor**

Internal area	875 persons
External area	40 persons

(these are not in addition but taken from the above figure of 875)

The maximum capacity of the premises as converted from the old PEL (prior to the Licensing Act 2003) is stated as **1095** for both ground and first floor areas. However, the current premises does not operate on both floors but instead operates as Sax bar, to the left of the premises (as you look towards it from the street) and Sax nightclub (to the right). The current premises licence states that it is therefore licensed for only **875** persons to be located on the ground floor of the premises including the front external smoking area at any one time.

The building also compromises a ten pin bowling alley on the first floor which is not currently open to the public. Clarity is required regarding this space as a gym facility is believed to function on this floor. The lease of this premises alone which covers one of the largest late night premises in Bridgend is large let alone the extensive running costs it needs to function. It seems to make little business sense and although the management company has tried to copy this model in several other parts there appears to be little financial gain. This variation would not encourage more business to the area, in fact it may be detrimental to the day time economy.

Previously, the premises' location on Derwen Road was key as traditionally there were other close late night venues nearby. At that time Derwen Road had to be closed off to vehicle traffic because of the amount of revellers spilling out onto the streets. Sax was then and still is the only 'true' nightclub in Bridgend town centre. Hence the late night opening it has on a Saturday night / Sunday morning till 05.00am. Yet the old times have moved on and most other places have closed down leaving Sax as only one of two late alcohol venues in Derwen Road. Currently, it is located in a Business area, next to Hope chapel on the one side and business premises and offices on the other side flanked around restaurants and coffee houses that appeal to the day time trade.

Sax is a Young person's venue, (YPV) and a High volume vertical drinking establishment (HVVD) attracting a young crowd ( 18-25) who go there to drink and dance mainly, one night a week, that being a Saturday night, Sunday morning.

These type of customers drink irresponsibly with no consideration for their actions or their own safety and police deal with these levels of intoxication in many different ways.

The premises charges an entry fee and attracts a later crowd after the customer base has preloaded at home or other premises within the borough. It is fair to state that the majority of customers are intoxicated on entry, hence the proportion of crime and disorder going up at the time of night when customers are attempting to gain entry and refused due to intoxication. It just depends on the range and interpretation of these intoxication levels and how 'drunk' is drunk.

This application's operating schedule does not appear to have considered the negative impact it will have on service delivery companies within Bridgend town centre, who will now have to cater for potentially large groups of customers leaving this premises after 5am every day of the week. As there are no current facilities SWP believe that these revellers will turn to crime either as victims or perpetrators as they get left in the town centre with no means of access to other services, no ability to sober up and no means to get home.

SWP have concerns with several of the application parameters. As this is an application to vary we have very little time in which to consult with the applicant and no recent meetings have been set up to facilitate this.

The police cannot support such application which is detrimental to the 'status quo' of Bridgend town centre and state the application cannot be supported in any shape or form.

The application has errors within it, requiring unnecessary objections to be clarified at any hearing. The applicant has asked for performance of dance, or anything of a similar nature to cease at 04.30 a.m. daily, with no application to consider live or recorded music.

Supply of alcohol till 04.30 a.m. daily has also been applied for, with no indication of whether this is on the premises, off the premises or both. Late night refreshment has also been applied for, with no indication in the operating schedule of the impact litter will have on the area and how this will be managed with allowing this service.

By asking for the sale of alcohol from 10.00 a.m. till 04.30 a.m. seven days a week, the premises will only remain closed for 5.30 hours a day, seven days a week, allowing little time for cleaning, bottle recycling, bin clearances, etc. This also includes a request to remove the condition of 'non standard timings for Good Friday and Christmas Day', although there is no indication for which licensable activities this is intended for as the non standard boxes have not been filled out on the application.

At no time have the dangers of extra drinking been highlighted or considered and how these customers will be safe or can be made safe with potential of 875

persons leaving Sax, mid-week, for example at 05.00 am. How on earth can any town centre manage such crowds, let alone Bridgend town centre with its limited resourcing levels. This will only have a negative impact as these drunk people descend on the town.

The licensing objectives given by the applicant to suggest the safe running of these hours and amendments have recorded nothing new and are a repetition of current practices. SWP believe therefore that public safety or the prevention of crime and disorder has not been considered.

### **Offences recorded against the Premises**

As per the Hampton Report, South Wales Police take a 'light touch' to all of its licensed premises within the Bridgend borough and attention from the police licensing team is not warranted until repetitive complaints are received. Such complaints have been received since the applicant took over control of the premises in 2011 that affect all four of the licensing objectives. In fact, Christmas Eve the first year of operation for the applicant, 24<sup>th</sup> December 2010, police were investigating a 17 year old, engaged in the consumption of alcohol involved in a bottling incident which took place inside the venue. **62100444572** therefore highlighted not only licensing offences at the premises but also violent crime.

Further evidence in relation to the four licensing objectives can be disclosed at a later date and be relied upon should this be deemed necessary.

In short a total of two police warning letters have been issued, and one action plan, not including the current one, two council warning letters and a number of meetings have been carried out to facilitate safer running procedures at the club and several operations with SWP licensing attend and promote.

### **The Operating Schedule**

This application wished to extend its existing opening hours and to extend its sales of alcohol hours yet no additional measures are being given for you to consider. The operating schedule is a part of the Premises application that details how the individual premise will operate and what activities are proposed. In this part of the document, the applicant should include a general description of the style and character of the business and the facilities provided to the customers. The operating schedule translates then into lawful orders and how the licensing objectives will be upheld by converting them into workable conditions. The conditions offered in this schedule do not show South Wales Police how this premise will be managed effectively or any differently from its current procedure, yet it wants to remain open for such long hours that gives a far greater risk of disorderly customers or drunken customers who are clearly linked to disorder.

This application requires the premises to be open for 17 hours a day, 7 days a week. With later hours to be considered for Good Friday and Christmas Day. The current licence already allows a later hour till 05.00am on Saturday, an additional hour when British summer time commences, all day sales for New Year's Day and cover for international sporting events.

[REDACTED] seven days a week, which have a negative impact on workers of the daytime economy of Bridgend town centre as they intercept drunks who can't get home. In essence this premise therefore wants to sell alcohol for much longer with no preventative measures being considered or the licensing objectives being upheld.

This would have a considerable impact on neighbouring premises as allowing customers an increased ability to drink alcohol late into the night, essentially the morning is only going to have a negative effect on public safety and crime and disorder.

Currently no risk assessment has been viewed so there are no guidelines put in place as to how this late night venue will not effect neighbouring establishments and nearby properties. There is nothing currently in place to prevent noise drifting to neighbouring premises from inside and outside from revellers leaving the premises during the course of the early morning hours. The application does not stipulate that this variation will only cover the internal area in fact, the outside smoking area has been included. The application wishes to lift current restrictions outside, meaning people can drink outside all night long, until 05.00 am. The application also requires a condition in relation to tables and chairs to be removed. This is a negative impact as in essence it stops customers putting their drinks down, therefore they continue to drink. It also means that potentially more customers will be squeezed into the area, breaching the capacity limit contained within the application.

A variation application has been discussed several times with this applicant, over several years and with several responsible authorities, including the licensing authority. SWP have notes and advise on how extensive changes need to be made to this licence to make it fit for purpose and totally usable. This time spent has been completely wasted as all information and advice has been completely ignored, therefore this application is not effective and should not be issued.

The current application has made no facility to assess the current conditions and how risks will be averted with this extension of hours. How will customers be prevented from causing further crime and disorder and how will staff prevent this?

At this current time, South Wales Police believes that an objection notice is a necessary and proportionate step in order to address the problems with this application and to advise that it should not be granted in any shape or form.

South Wales Police can evidence reported incidents at the premises and that no extension of sale of alcohol hours should be considered until it is clear that the licensing objectives are being upheld. This will be evident that the prevention of crime and disorder is being upheld, once the statistics are reduced. If the applicant wants to extend its hours, then special measures should be considered to support the Licensing objectives to allow these changes to go ahead without adding negatively. These responsibilities lie with the applicant not the licensing authority.

The applicant has offered no evidence for you to support this application.

South Wales Police therefore cannot support this variation application and wish for the panel to reject it outright. SWP believe that a hearing is necessary and proportionate.

Yours sincerely,

Police Constable Sarah ROWLATT  
Central West Licensing Officer

Pen-y-bont ar Ogwr  
Mwy Diogel



**Safer Bridgend**

[www.saferbridgend.org.uk](http://www.saferbridgend.org.uk)